Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/575,210	CHEUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lorie Ann Morgan {Reg. No. 38,181}</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 August 2008	Time: <u>11:55am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 7 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative and left a voice mail message for permission to delete "or solvate" from the end of claims 1, 7 and 8. At 1:17pm of same day, Ms. Lorie Ann Morgan called the Examiner and left a voice mail message giving the Examiner permission to make the change to the claims.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Laura L. Stockton/		
(A _I	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)